



Data Reconciliation in the process industries

Prof. Cesar de Prada Dpt. Systems Engineering and Automatic Control University of Valladolid, Spain prada@autom.uva.es







✓ Presentation

Measurements and information

- ✓ Data reconciliation
- ✓ Gross errors
- ✓ Examples:
 - Sugar factory
 - Petrol refinery
- ✓ Conclusions





Today's process plants





From data to knowledge



✓ Huge amount of data available in real time or historians.

- Better instrumentation and new sensors
- With less trained people in the control room or the technical teams, supporting tools are required for process safety, process behaviour predictions, help in Abnormal Situation Management,...
- Models and simulations, decision support systems, etc., are recognized as elements to condense knowledge
- The focus is on software applications at the MES level







Models

- ✓ There is a lot of interest in the optimal (economic) operation of the processes
- Models play a key role in supporting the decision making process
- Advanced Control and Economic Optimization are the right tools
- Successful implementation requires suitable models and process information
- ✓ Few tools for estimating earnings and improvements







Data / Information



From data to reliable and coherent information





Plant data

- Some measurements are not consistent or unreliable
- There are many unmeasured variables
- Model parameters need to be estimated







Inconsistencies







Inconsistences







- Use plant/lab measurements and knowledge stored in the models to:
 - Estimate the values of all variables and model parameters coherent with a process model and as close as possible to the measurements
 - Detect and correct inconsistencies in the measurements
- Formulated as an optimization problem













F flow X composition Mass balances

$$F_1 = F_2 + F_3$$

$$F_1 X_1 = F_2 X_2 + F_3 X_3$$

2 equations 6 variables

More than 4 measurements are required to avoid having a unique or multiple solutions









3 measurements, affected by noise, errors, etc.

Redundant variables

 $F_1 = F_2 + F_3$

Estimated values must satisfy the model

Probalility of a measured value x_i around its true value (that verifies the model)







✓ Criterion (ML): Maximize the probability that the measured value of each variable x_m be equal to the true one, which verifies the model x (o minimize its negative log)

$$\prod_{i=1}^{N} p_i(x_{mi}) = \prod_{t=1}^{N} \frac{\exp\left[\frac{-(x_i - x_{mi})^2}{2\sigma^2}\right]}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}}$$

Assuming independent variables

$$\min_{\mathbf{x}_{i},\boldsymbol{\theta}} \left[-\log L(\mathbf{x}_{i}) \right] = \min_{\mathbf{x}_{i},\boldsymbol{\theta}} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{(\mathbf{x}_{i} - \mathbf{x}_{mi}))^{2}}{2\sigma^{2}} + N \log \sigma \sqrt{2\pi}$$













$$\begin{split} \min_{u,\theta} & \sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_i (y_i - y_{m,i})^2 + \beta_i (u_i - u_{m,i})^2 & m \\ & measured \\ & \frac{dx}{dt} = f(x, u, \theta) & y = h(x, u, \theta) \\ & g(x, y, u, \theta) \le 0 \end{split}$$







Feasibility

$$\begin{split} \min_{u,\theta,\varepsilon} & \sum_{i}^{\text{meas}} \frac{\alpha_{i}}{\sigma_{i}^{2}} (y_{i} - y_{m,i})^{2} + \sum_{j}^{\text{meas}} \frac{\beta_{j}}{\sigma_{j}^{2}} (u_{j} - u_{m,j})^{2} + \sum_{k}^{\text{feas}} \gamma_{i} \varepsilon_{k}^{2} \\ & \frac{dx}{dt} = f(x,u,\theta) \qquad y = h(x,u,\theta) \\ & g(x,y,u,\theta) \leq \varepsilon \qquad \varepsilon \geq 0 \end{split}$$

Normalization: span, variance, instrument precision,... Feasibility: slack variables incorporated α , β : relative importance of the variables and eliminate variables affected with gross errors Identificability, regularization,...





Gross errors



$$F_1 + F_2 + F_3 = 0$$

$$\min_{x} \sum_{j \in M} \left[\frac{x_{j} - x_{mj}}{\sigma_{j}} \right]^{2}$$
$$f_{i}(x) = 0$$

Gross errors increase the dispersion and distort the solution

The errors are spread through all variables



Detecting gross errors



Two approaches: • Gross errors detection and measurement removal

• Use of robust estimators

Analyse residuals with data without gross errors

Analyse residual of current data PCA

> Test for significant differences and, in particular, for the largest ones and locate the variables that most contribute to them





Gross errors

$$\begin{split} \min_{u,\theta,\varepsilon} & \sum_{i}^{\text{meas}} \frac{\alpha_{i}}{\sigma_{i}^{2}} (y_{i} - y_{m,i})^{2} + \sum_{j}^{\text{meas}} \frac{\beta_{j}}{\sigma_{j}^{2}} (u_{j} - u_{m,j})^{2} + \sum_{k}^{\text{feas}} \gamma_{i} \varepsilon_{k}^{2} \\ & \frac{dx}{dt} = f(x, u, \theta) \qquad y = h(x, u, \theta) \\ & g(x, y, u, \theta) \leq \varepsilon \qquad \varepsilon \geq 0 \end{split}$$

In practice, gross errors can be detected by a combination of rule base and cyclic solution of the optimization problem. After an initial removal of a set of measurements from the cost function using rules, the solution is checked against the variance of the signal and those variables with measurements outside the 3σ band, are removed again.





Two approaches: • Gross errors detection and measurement removal









Robust Estimators



If the distribution of the measurement errors ε_j is non-Gaussian, as may happen if gross errors are present, the LS estimation may give incorrect results as it is not robust against deviations from the assumed Gaussian distribution.

is

The robustness of a ML-estimator against deviations from non-Gaussianity is measured by the influence function, which is proportional to the first derivative of the estimator. The estimator is robust if the influence function is bounded as the residuals go to infinity.

In particular, the LS estimator is not robust as the derivative

$$\frac{d\epsilon_j^2}{d\epsilon_j} = 2\epsilon_j$$

not bounded





Robust estimators

$$F_{j} = c^{2} \left[\frac{\left| \varepsilon_{j} \right|}{c} - \log \left(1 + \frac{\left| \varepsilon_{j} \right|}{c} \right) \right]$$

Robust estimators use different cost functions, such as the Fair function F, that fulfils the robustness property:





Robust data reconciliation formulation





Redescending Función

$$R_{j} = \begin{cases} 0.5\epsilon_{j}^{2} & 0 \le |\epsilon_{j}| \le a \\ a|\epsilon_{j}| - 0.5a^{2} & a \le |\epsilon_{j}| \le b \\ ab - 0.5a^{2} + 0.5a(c - b)(1 - \left(\frac{c - |\epsilon_{j}|}{c - b}\right)^{2}) & b \le |\epsilon_{j}| \le c \\ ab - 0.5a^{2} + 0.5a(c - b) & c \le |\epsilon_{j}| \end{cases}$$

Hampel's redescending estimator









$$W_{j} = \frac{c^{2}}{2} \left[1 - exp \left(-\left(\frac{\varepsilon_{j}}{c}\right)^{2} \right) \right]$$

95% asymptotic efficiency on the standard normal distribution is obtained with the tuning constant c = 2.9846













Beet sugar factory



Mathematical treatment





Sugar plant DR software

- ✓ Main elements:
- Periodic characterization of the plant status, using a steady model of the sugar plant.
- On line connection with the plan Distributed Control System (DCS) to obtain, the measured variables necessary for the balances and model identification.
- ✓ Data reconciliation, correcting measured variables in a way that the model is adjusted and calculating at the same time that unknown variables and model parameters.
- As a by-product of the data reconciliation, key performance indicators are estimated from calculated values in the reconciliation.







- ✓ Static
- ✓ Mass energy balances
- ✓ Flows, pressures
- Equations and properties of the application domain
- Formulated in the EcosimPro environment
- Measurements averaged for a period of time
- ✓ Rules to eliminate bad measurements





$$\min_{u,\theta,\varepsilon} \sum_{i}^{\text{meas}} \frac{\alpha_{i}}{\sigma_{i}^{2}} (y_{i} - y_{m,i})^{2} + \sum_{j}^{\text{meas}} \frac{\beta_{j}}{\sigma_{j}^{2}} (u_{j} - u_{m,j})^{2} + \sum_{k}^{\text{feas}} \gamma_{i} \varepsilon_{k}^{2}$$
$$y = h(x, u, \theta) \qquad g(x, y, u, \theta) \le \varepsilon \qquad \varepsilon \ge 0$$



Solved with a sequential approach



Implementation in EcosimPro







SCADA implementation







DR system / SCADA







DR system SCADA









- ✓ Detection of inconsistent measures. Help in fault detection.
- ✓ KPI: Evaluation of energetic behaviour indexes, efficiency, comparison between process heat transfer coefficients versus theoretical coefficients....
- Estimation of all unmeasured variables, some of them relevant for the energy evaluation such as steam consumptions.
- Keeping track of the time evolution of key variables during the sugar beet campaign, helping managers in locating malfunctions in the process or equipment fouling and planning maintenance





Inconsistencies





Key Performance Indicators KPI



Boiler efficiency





Hydrogen network







Arquitecture







Data treatment

key role played by the data treatment in the success of the application in the refinery. If data from the SCADA system are not analyzed and filter previously to their use in the numerical methods, there are no chances to obtain good results. This layer is composed of a set of rules that detect faults and information inconsistences in the raw data and decides which options are the most adequate ones. For instance, detecting when a flow is actually zero, a plant is stopped, a measurement is out of range, etc. It has been developed for specific cases combining physical knowledge and heuristic rules. As a result of these rules, the system adjust the model parameters and optimization weights, so that, e.g. a measurement can be eliminated from the data reconciliation cost function. Mayor changes take place when a plant is not operating. To deal with these cases, the network is formulated as a superstructure that allows to remove groups of equations depending on the value of binary variables that represent the state of the plants.







DR













HDS

















- ✓ It allows to compute KPI to follow the time evolution of the process operation.
- ✓ Formulated as an optimization problem.
- ✓ Open problems:
 - Gross error detection
 - Speed, batch, non-independent variables,...